

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/684,336	KANEKO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Being allowed

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Phillip J. Articola (Reg. 38,819).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2004

**Time:** -

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Called the applicant to amend claim 1 to more clearly define the location of the metallic covering material to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant gave permission to amend the claim by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)